

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GARRICK HARRINGTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

A. K. SCRIBNER, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:05-cv-00624-OWW-LJO PC

ORDER FINDING SERVICE OF AMENDED
COMPLAINT APPROPRIATE, AND
DIRECTING CLERK'S OFFICE TO SEND
SERVICE DOCUMENTS TO PLAINTIFF

(Doc. 18)

PLAINTIFF TO COMPLETE AND RETURN
SERVICE DOCUMENTS WITHIN THIRTY
DAYS

Plaintiff Garrick Harrington ("plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on May 11, 2005. In a separate order issued concurrently with this order, the court screened plaintiff's amended complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and found that it states cognizable claims for relief under section 1983 against defendants Hicks, Furholdt, Wood, and Lowden for violating the Eighth Amendment, and against defendants Yamamoto, Poulos, and Scribner for violating the Equal Protection Clause; ¹ Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a); Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N. A., 534 U.S. 506, 512-15 (2002); Austin v. Terhune, 367 F.3d 1167, 1171 (9th Cir. 2004); Jackson v. Carey, 353 F.3d 750, 754 (9th Cir. 2003); Galbraith v. County of Santa Clara, 307 F.3d 1119, 1125-26 (9th Cir. 2002). Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

¹ In a separate order issued concurrently with this order, plaintiff's claims against defendant Sheppard-Brooks, and defendant Sheppard-Brooks, were dismissed.

1. Service is appropriate for the following defendants:

C/O D. HICKS

SGT. FURHOLDT

LT. L. L. WOOD

CPT. R. R. LOWDEN

ASSOCIATE WARDEN A. YAMAMOTO

CHIEF DEPUTY WARDEN M. E. POULOS

WARDEN A. K. SCRIBNER

2. The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff seven (7) USM-285 forms, seven (7) summonses, a Notice of Submission of Documents form, an instruction sheet and a copy of the amended complaint filed April 28, 2006.

3. Within **thirty (30) days** from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the attached Notice of Submission of Documents and submit the completed Notice to the court with the following documents:

a. Completed summons;

b. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant listed above; and

c. Eight (8) copies of the endorsed amended complaint filed April 28, 2006.

4. Plaintiff need not attempt service on defendants and need not request waiver of service. Upon receipt of the above-described documents, the court will direct the United States Marshal to serve the above-named defendants pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 without payment of costs.

5. The failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 9, 2007
b9ed48

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE